Cost Adjustments - Estimated Impacts

Based on FY2020 data with minor adjustments

3 Year transition

Cost factor adjustments phased in Pupil weights eliminated in first year

These are estimates only and do not reflect future real world spending decisions.

^{*}See Notes at the bottom for more details and assumptions

a.	b.		c.		d.		e.		f.	g.		h.	i.
		Base	rates		School	dist	rict rates	s du	ıring	Transition	(Change in ra	tes after full
						tra	ansition			analysis		implem	entation
				(.	Assumin	g c	onstant s	per	nding)		(As	suming cons	stant spending)
	School district	FY	2020	Υ	ear 1	,	Year 2	,	Year 3	Transition	Dol	llar change	Relative
		Adjı	usted		Rate		Rate		Rate	with		ŭ	percent change
		Ra	ite*	(Yield:		(Yield:		(Yield:	direction			(See notes* for
		(Yi	eld:	\$	9,962)	\$	8,533)	\$	57,133)	change			highlighting
		\$10	,817)							marked			details)
County										with X			
Addison													
	Addison Central USD	\$	1.62	\$	1.66	\$	1.71	\$	1.77		\$	0.16	10%
Addison	Addison NW USD	\$	1.77	\$	1.80	\$	1.84	\$	1.89		\$	0.12	7%
710010011	Granville-Hancock USD	\$	1.66	\$	1.86	\$	1.86	\$	1.84	Х	\$	0.18	11%
	Mt. Abraham USD	\$	1.66	\$	1.65	\$	1.68	\$	1.71	Х	\$	0.06	4%
Bennington		1.		1 .									I
	Arlington	\$	1.67	\$	1.70	\$	1.72	\$	1.73		\$	0.06	3%
	Mt. Anthony UHSD	\$	1.36	\$	1.41	\$	1.33	\$	1.20	X	\$	(0.15)	-11%
	North Bennington ID	\$	1.54	\$	1.51	\$	1.58	\$	1.67	Х	\$	0.14	9%
Ponnington	Sandgate	\$	1.26	\$	1.21	\$	1.19	\$	1.14	V	\$	(0.12)	-9%
Bennington	Searsburg Southwest Vermont UESD	\$	1.51	\$	1.60	\$	1.57 1.25	\$	1.53 1.16	Х	\$	(0.22)	1% -16%
	Stamford	\$	1.31	\$	1.25	\$	1.20	\$	1.12		\$	(0.22)	-16%
	Taconic & Green Regional USD	\$	1.58	\$	1.56	\$	1.54	\$	1.50		\$	(0.13)	-5%
	Winhall	\$	1.63	\$	1.67	\$	1.78	\$	1.92		\$	0.29	18%
Caledonia	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7	1.00	Y	1.07	7	1.70	7	1.52		7	0.23	10/0
	Caledonia Cooperative USD	\$	1.57	\$	1.54	\$	1.50	\$	1.43		\$	(0.15)	-9%
	Danville	\$	1.58	\$	1.58	\$	1.60	\$	1.62		\$	0.04	3%
	Hazen UHSD	\$	1.69	\$	1.86	\$	1.81	\$	1.74	Х	\$	0.05	3%
Caledonia	Kingdom East USD	\$	1.46	\$	1.43	\$	1.35	\$	1.23		\$	(0.23)	-16%
	Peacham	\$	1.69	\$	1.65	\$	1.63	\$	1.61		\$	(0.08)	-5%
	St. Johnsbury	\$	1.39	\$	1.41	\$	1.36	\$	1.28	Χ	\$	(0.11)	-8%
	Stannard	\$	1.00	\$	1.00	\$	1.00	\$	1.00		\$	-	0%
Chittenden													
	Burlington	\$	1.48	\$	1.45	\$	1.28	\$	1.03		\$	(0.45)	-30%
	Champlain Valley USD	\$	1.48	\$	1.53	\$	1.64	\$	1.77		\$	0.29	19%
	Colchester	\$	1.39	\$	1.39	\$	1.41	\$	1.41		\$	0.02	1%
Chittenden	Essex-Westford EC USD	\$	1.49	\$	1.50	\$	1.55	\$	1.60		\$	0.11	8%
	Milton	\$	1.38	\$	1.35	\$	1.31	\$	1.26		\$	(0.12)	-8%
	Mt. Mansfield UUSD	\$	1.46	\$	1.49	\$	1.58	\$	1.69		\$	0.23	16%
	South Burlington	\$	1.47	\$	1.48	\$	1.51	\$	1.53		\$	0.06	4%
Faces	Winooski ID	\$	1.41	\$	1.35	\$	1.00	\$	1.00		\$	(0.41)	-29%
Essex	Prighton	\$	1.55	Ċ	1.45	۲.	1.24	۲.	1.00		\$	/O.F.F.\	-35%
Essex	Brighton Canaan	\$	1.55	\$	1.45	\$	1.74	\$	1.72	Х	\$	(0.55)	-35% 0%
LOSEA	Northeast Kingdom Choice USD	\$	1.70	\$	1.75	\$	1.74	\$	2.06	^	\$	0.35	21%
	Informedat Kinguom Choice USD	ې	1.70	Ş	1.00	Ş	1.91	Ş	2.00		Ç	0.35	21%

These are estimates only and do not reflect future real world spending decisions.

*See Notes at the bottom for more details and assumptions

a. b. c. d. e. f. g. h. i.

		Dase	rates	(.		tra	trict rates ansition onstant s		_	Transition analysis	Change in rates after full implementation (Assuming constant spending)			
	School district	Adjus Rat (Yie \$10,8	sted e* ld:	(/ear 1 Rate Yield: 9,962)		Year 2 Rate (Yield: 58,533)		Year 3 Rate (Yield: \$7,133)	Transition with direction change marked	Doll	lar change	Relative percent change (See notes* for highlighting details)	
County										with X				
Franklin		_		-		-		-	1		4	(0.00)		
	Enosburgh-Richford USD		1.29	\$	1.25	\$	1.12	\$	1.00	.,	\$	(0.29)	-23%	
	Fairfax	_	1.21	\$	1.20	\$	1.23	\$	1.26	Х	\$	0.05	4%	
	Fletcher		1.54	\$	1.45	\$	1.41	\$	1.34		\$	(0.20)	-13%	
Franklin	Georgia		1.34	\$	1.34	\$	1.41	\$	1.49		\$	0.15	11%	
	Maple Run USD		1.47	\$	1.48	\$	1.49	\$	1.50		\$	0.03	2%	
	Missisquois Valley School District		1.41	\$	1.38	\$	1.34	\$	1.28		\$	(0.13)	-9%	
	Northern Mountain Valley UUSD	\$	1.31	\$	1.20	\$	1.08	\$	1.00		\$	(0.31)	-23%	
Grand Isle	[1		1		1					
	Alburgh		1.60	\$	1.58	\$	1.48	\$	1.33		\$	(0.27)	-17%	
Grand Isle	Champlain Islands UUSD	_	1.65	\$	1.67	\$	1.66	\$	1.64	Х	\$	(0.01)	-1%	
	South Hero	\$	1.58	\$	1.59	\$	1.62	\$	1.66		\$	0.08	5%	
Lamoille														
	Cambridge		1.45	\$	1.42	\$	1.48	\$	1.57	Х	\$	0.12	8%	
	Lamoille North MUSD A	_	1.56		1.44	\$	1.39	\$	1.30		\$	(0.26)	-16%	
Lamoille	Lamoille North MUSD B		1.51	\$	1.54	\$	1.43	\$	1.27	Х	\$	(0.24)	-16%	
	Lamoille South USD		1.43	\$	1.43	\$	1.45	\$	1.46		\$	0.03	2%	
	Wolcott	\$	1.59	\$	1.60	\$	1.58	\$	1.55	Χ	\$	(0.04)	-3%	
Orange	<u>, </u>													
	Blue Mountain USD		1.52	\$	1.47	\$	1.39	\$	1.28		\$	(0.24)	-16%	
	Echo Valley Community School District	\$	1.42	\$	1.31	\$	1.16	\$	1.00		\$	(0.42)	-30%	
	First Branch USD	\$	1.60	\$	1.60	\$	1.58	\$	1.54		\$	(0.06)	-4%	
	Orange Southwest USD	\$	1.59	\$	1.59	\$	1.58	\$	1.58		\$	(0.01)	-1%	
Orange	Oxbow UHSD		1.55	\$	1.54	\$	1.47	\$	1.37		\$	(0.19)	-12%	
	Rivendell Interstate USD	\$	1.81	\$	1.73	\$	1.65	\$	1.53		\$	(0.28)	-15%	
	Strafford	\$	1.55	\$	1.67	\$	1.66	\$	1.63	Χ	\$	0.08	5%	
	Thetford	\$	1.75	\$	1.84	\$	2.01	\$	2.22		\$	0.47	27%	
	Waits River Valley USD	\$	1.40	\$	1.43	\$	1.35	\$	1.23	Χ	\$	(0.18)	-13%	
Orleans		-												
	Charleston	\$	1.53	\$	1.30	\$	1.06	\$	1.00		\$	(0.53)	-35%	
	Coventry		1.38	\$	1.30	\$	1.17	\$	1.00		\$	(0.38)	-28%	
	Craftsbury	\$	1.70	\$	1.66	\$	1.59	\$	1.48		\$	(0.22)	-13%	
	Derby	\$	1.14	\$	1.01	\$	1.00	\$	1.00		\$	(0.14)	-12%	
	Holland	\$	1.50	\$	1.36	\$	1.23	\$	1.05		\$	(0.45)	-30%	
Orleans	Jay	\$	1.51	\$	1.32	\$	1.20	\$	1.04		\$	(0.47)	-31%	
	Lake Region UHSD	\$	1.41	\$	1.43	\$	1.28	\$	1.07	Х	\$	(0.34)	-24%	
	Lake Region Union Elementary-Middle S	\$	1.25		1.08	\$	1.00	\$	1.00		\$	(0.25)	-20%	
	Lowell		1.27	\$	1.07	\$	1.00	\$	1.00		\$	(0.27)	-21%	
	Morgan		1.26	\$	1.09	\$	1.00	\$	1.00		\$	(0.26)	-20%	
	Newport City		1.25	\$	1.06	·	1.00	\$	1.00		\$	(0.25)	-20%	

These are estimates only and do not reflect future real world spending decisions.

*See Notes at the bottom for more details and assumptions

a. b. c. d. e. f. g. h. i.

		Bas	e rates	(tra	rict rates ansition			Transition analysis	Change in rates after full implementation (Assuming constant spending)			
	Cabaal district	-	2020			_		_		T			I	
	School district		2020		ear 1		Year 2	'	Year 3	Transition	Dol	lar change	Relative	
			usted		Rate		Rate		Rate	with			percent change	
			ate*		Yield:		(Yield:		(Yield:	direction			(See notes* for	
			ield:	Σ:	9,962)	Ş	8,533)	7	\$7,133)	change			highlighting	
		\$10),817)							marked with X			details)	
County		4.		_		_		_		WITH X		(0.00)	/	
	Newport Town	\$	1.60	\$	1.42	\$	1.22	\$	1.00		\$	(0.60)	-37%	
	North Country Jr UHSD	\$	1.49	\$	1.59	\$	1.46	\$	1.26	X	\$	(0.23)	-15%	
Orleans (cont.)	North Country Sr UHSD	\$	1.44	\$	1.47	\$	1.35	\$	1.17	X	\$	(0.27)	-19%	
	Orleans Southwest UESD	\$	1.64	\$	1.63	\$	1.60	\$	1.56		\$	(0.08)	-5%	
	Troy	\$	1.50	\$	1.29	\$	1.04	\$			\$	(0.50)	-33%	
Double and	Westfield	\$	1.53	\$	1.00	\$	1.00	\$	1.00		\$	(0.53)	-35%	
Rutland	Dt LIOD	1.6	4 40	۸.	4 44	4	1 12	۲.	4 4- 1		<u> </u>	0.0-		
	Barstow USD	\$	1.40	\$	1.41	\$	1.43	\$	1.45		\$	0.05	4%	
	lra	\$	1.35	\$	1.31	\$	1.33	\$	1.35	Х	\$	0.00	0%	
	Mettawee School District	\$		\$	1.44	\$	1.43	\$	1.40		\$	(0.05)	-3%	
	Mill River USD	\$	1.57	\$	1.55	\$	1.52	\$	1.47		\$	(0.10)	-6%	
	Otter Valley USD	\$	1.37	\$	1.38	\$	1.34	\$	1.28	X	\$	(0.09)	-7%	
Rutland	Pittsfield	\$	1.27	\$	1.52	\$	1.58	\$	1.64		\$	0.36	29%	
	Quarry Valley USD	\$	1.51	\$	1.49	\$	1.47	\$	1.43		\$	(0.08)	-6%	
	Rutland City	\$	1.43	\$	1.43	\$	1.35	\$	1.22		\$	(0.21)	-15%	
	Rutland Town	\$	1.46	\$	1.48	\$	1.58	\$	1.70		\$	0.24	16%	
	Slate Valley USD	\$	1.48	\$	1.49	\$	1.45	\$		Х	\$	(0.09)	-6%	
	Wells Spring USD	\$	1.50	\$	1.44	\$	1.38	\$	1.28		\$	(0.22)	-15%	
Washington	I==	.			1				1					
	Barre USD	\$	1.25	\$	1.25	\$	1.22	\$	1.18		\$	(0.08)	-6%	
	Cabot	\$	1.70	\$	1.69	\$	1.66	\$			\$	(0.09)	-5%	
	Harwood USD	\$	1.66	\$	1.71	\$	1.81	\$	1.95		\$	0.29	17%	
Washington	Montpelier Roxbury School District	\$	1.51	\$	1.56	\$	1.64	\$	1.74		\$	0.22	15%	
	Paine Mountain School District	\$	1.43	\$	1.43		1.42	\$			\$	(0.04)	-3%	
	Twinfield USD	\$	1.69	\$	1.72	\$	1.73	\$	1.74		\$	0.05	3%	
	Washington Central USD	\$	1.72	\$	1.69	\$	1.70	\$	1.71	Χ	\$	(0.01)	-1%	
Windham	I= ==								1					
	Bellows Falls UHSD	\$	1.65		1.81	\$	1.81	_	1.80	Х	\$	0.15	9%	
	Marlboro	\$	1.68	\$	1.61	\$	1.52	\$	1.39		\$	(0.29)	-17%	
	River Valleys USD	\$	1.64	\$	1.60	\$	1.56	\$			\$	(0.15)	-9%	
	Rockingham	\$	1.61	\$	1.62	\$	1.63	\$	1.63		\$	0.02	1%	
	Southern Valley USD	\$		\$	1.15	_		_	1.00		\$	(0.27)		
	Stratton	\$	1.78		1.79	\$	1.91	\$	2.08		\$	0.30	17%	
Windham	Twin Valley USD	\$	2.06	\$	1.90	\$	1.86	\$	1.79		\$	(0.27)	-13%	
	Vernon	\$	1.50	\$	1.53	\$	1.60	\$			\$	0.20	14%	
	West River MUED A	\$	1.71	\$	1.53	÷	1.42	\$	1.27		\$	(0.44)	-26%	
	West River MUED B	\$	2.11		2.17		2.18	_			\$	0.07	3%	
	Windham	\$	2.27	\$	1.81	\$	1.80	\$	1.79		\$	(0.48)	-21%	
	Windham Northeast UESD	\$	1.71	\$	1.61	\$	1.52	\$	1.39		\$	(0.31)	-18%	
	Windham Southeast USD	\$	1.68	\$	1.76	\$	1.81	\$	1.88		\$	0.20	12%	

These are estimates only and do not reflect future real world spending decisions.

*See Notes at the bottom for more details and assumptions

a. b. c. d. e. f. g. h.	a.	b.	C.	d.	e.	f.	g.	h.	
-------------------------	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	--

		Base	rates			tra	rict rates nsition nstant s		ŭ	Transition analysis	Change in rates after full implementation (Assuming constant spending)				
	School district	FY2	2020	Υe	ear 1	Υ	ear 2	١	Year 3	Transition	Dollar c	hange	Relative		
		Adjı	usted	R	ate		Rate		Rate	with			percent change		
		Ra	Rate*		Rate*		ield:	(Yield:		(Yield:		direction			(See notes* for
		(Yi	(Yield:		\$9,962)		\$8,533)		7,133)	change			highlighting		
		\$10	,817)							marked			details)		
County										with X					
Windsor															
	Green Mountain USD	\$	1.47	\$	1.44	\$	1.36	\$	1.24		\$	(0.23)	-16%		
	Hartford	\$	1.56	\$	1.60	\$	1.65	\$	1.70		\$	0.14	9%		
	Hartland	\$	1.67	\$	1.70	\$	1.75	\$	1.80		\$	0.13	8%		
	Ludlow-Mt. Holly UUSD	\$	1.68	\$	1.62	\$	1.54	\$	1.43		\$	(0.24)	-15%		
	Mount Ascutney USD	\$	1.45	\$	1.49	\$	1.53	\$	1.59		\$	0.14	9%		
Windsor	Norwich	\$	1.74	\$	1.82	\$	2.01	\$	2.26		\$	0.51	29%		
VVIIIusoi	Rochester-Stockbridge USD	\$	1.69	\$	1.67	\$	1.61	\$	1.51		\$	(0.18)	-11%		
	Sharon	\$	1.52	\$	1.48	\$	1.45	\$	1.40		\$	(0.12)	-8%		
	Springfield	\$	1.71	\$	1.74	\$	1.72	\$	1.69	Χ	\$	(0.02)	-1%		
	Weathersfield	\$	1.54	\$	1.54	\$	1.58	\$	1.62		\$	0.08	5%		
	White River USD	\$	1.67	\$	1.64	\$	1.60	\$	1.54		\$	(0.13)	-8%		
	Windsor Central UUSD	\$	1.64	\$	1.62	\$	1.63	\$	1.63	Х	\$	(0.01)	-1%		

* Notes:

- a.) All estimates are based on FY 2020 education spending, with some minor adjustments made to reflect data corrections. Total education spending is determined by local votes, so future spending decisions and tax rates will likely differ from what has been modeled. In this model, education spending was held constant at the FY20 level, even when modeling out years.
- b.) The yield is based on the sum of local education spending decisions as well as other revenues coming into the education fund. All additional revenues are FY 2020 numbers, and have not been modeled to change in the future.
- c.) LT ADM are from FY 2020, with some minor adjustments made to reflect data corrections. This includes LT ADM within each cost factor category.
- d.) FY 2020 data was used without Act 46 merger incentives. This led to higher equalized tax rates than would be needed to raise enough for education spending. As such, the yield was readjusted, which, in turn, lowered equalized tax rates.
- e.) Free and Reduced Lunch was used as a measurement for poverty.

Column descriptions:

Column a.: The column labels the county a district is located in.

Column b.: This column labels the district.

Column c.: This column shows the rate for the adjusted FY 2020 data. This is used as the base rate for comparison purposes.

Column d.: This column shows the rate for the first year of the transition. These rates have been calculated with ADM from adjusted FY 2020 data and districts receiving one-third (e.g. 33%) of the cost adjustments.

Column e.: This column shows the rate for the second year of the transition. These rates have been calculated with ADM from adjusted FY 2020 data and districts receiving two-thirds (e.g. 67%) of the cost adjustments.

Column f.: This column shows the rate for the third year of the transition. These rates have been calculated with ADM from adjusted FY 2020 data and districts receiving three-thirds (e.g. 100%) of the cost adjustments.

Column g.: This column shows districts that have a transition path in which rates would not move in the same direction. Rows marked with an "X" refer to districts where the transition from base rate to year 3 rate would increase and then decrease, or decrease and then increase. In other words, rows marked with an "X" have transitions that experience a peak or valley.

Column h.: This column shows the monetary change between the FY 2020 adjusted rate (in Column c.) and the Year 3 rates (in Column f.).

Column i.: This column shows the relative percent change between the FY 2020 adjusted rate (in Column c.) and the Year 3 rates (in Column f.). In column i, cells highlighted in blue are relative percent changes >5%. Cells highlighted in yellow are relative percent changes <-5%

Additional Details:

For a more detailed description of assumptions, please reference the Task Force on the Implementation of the Pupil Weighing Factors Report (2021)